

.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	Carry Court of Array (New York Court of
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	D 7913Z
07/580,246 09/10/90 HUFFMAN	ev.
	KALINCHAK, S
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER	SHELMIT CAPER - 5
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530	113
GIN COLOR	14'5 MALED: 08/12/91
This is a communication from the examined in charge of your document of COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADERIARKS	
This application has been examined Responsive to communication. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s) Thirty days from the date of this letter.
ATTACHMENTS ARE PART OF THIS ACTION	N:
'	2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
 Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 	Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
Notice of Art Cred by Applicant, Pro-1444. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	6.
DOWN CHIMMARY OF ACTION	
14.	are pending in the application.
1. ClaimsOf the above, claims	
	have been cancelled.
2.	
	
4. Claims	are objected to.
5. L. Cianto	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
8. [4] Claims	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37	
- 8 Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	and a F.D. 4.04 those demaining
are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation of No	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawing: ptice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
 The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, file examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 	od on has (have) been approved by the
Clarification float	_, has been 🔲 approved; 🔲 disapproved (see explanation).
The state of the plain for priority under U.S.C	C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received
been filed in parent application, serial no	, 11100 011
 Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. Other	

Serial No. 580,246
Art Unit 113

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-13 $_{\Lambda}$ drawn to processes of producing and extracting C60/C70, classified in Class 423, subclass 658.5.
- II. Claims 14-36, drawn to C60/C70 products, classified in Class 423, subclass 445.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as the flame combustion of hydrocarbon-oxygen mixtures.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications and recognized divergent subject matter matter, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

ŧ

Serial No. 580,246

Art Unit 113

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

A telephone call was made to Attorney William E. McNulty on July 30, 1991 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

561(

S. Kalinchak:aw August 06, 1991 Michael Lewis

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Patent Examining Group 110